Full Monte 2017 Release Notes

Table of Contents

Introduction	2
Installation	2
Tabular View Sorting	2
Risk Analysis	3
Risk Path Analysis	4
Input Milestones	5
Task Existence	6
Expected Cost Calculations in Full Monte 2017	8
Filters & Highlights	9
History Report	9
Enterprise Fields (Microsoft project)	10
Level of Effort	10

Introduction

This document describes the new features in Full Monte 2017.

Installation

If you have Full Monte 2016 then this must be uninstalled before installing Full Monte 2017. Please note that the format of the data stored by Full Monte 2017 is different to any earlier version. Full Monte 2017 will automatically convert Full Monte 2016 (and Full Monte 2014 P6 data) data into the newer format. Once converted it will no longer be recognized by Full Monte 2016/2014. Please refer to the Full Monte for Microsoft Project Installation Guide for information regarding converting from Full Monte 2.1 or earlier.

Microsoft Project Configuration

An additional Full Monte field ('Full Monte Results 2') has been added to the default system field mappings. This field will **not** be automatically mapped for projects previously used with Full Monte 2016. Currently the only data stored in Full Monte Results 2 is the diagnostic data shown in Early Start Basis, Early Finish Basis, Critical Predecessors, Critical Successors, Last Finish Basis, Last Start Basis fields. If Full Monte Results 2 is not mapped, then this information will not be available until a Risk Analysis has been performed in the current session.

It is no longer mandatory to map the field 'Full Monte Results'. This makes 'Full Monte Input Data' the only mandatory mapped field in Full Monte 2017. If the standard results and histogram fields are not mapped, then that data will not be available in a new Full Monte session until a Risk Analysis is performed.

Please see the Full Monte for Microsoft Project Installation Guide for further information.

Oracle Primavera P6 Configuration

A new Primavera user defined field called 'Full Monte Risk Path' is automatically created (if undefined) and populated with the Full Monte Risk Path data when a project is saved after performing a Risk Analysis. This field can be used to group tasks by criticality to either project completion or an interim milestone.

Please see the Full Monte User Guide for more information on Risk Path analysis.

Tabular View Sorting

The options to Sort in ascending and descending order have been removed from the right-click context menus for column headings in tabular views. Columns can be sorted simply by clicking on the column heading and by using Ctrl+Click to sort on multiple columns. There is also a new Sort dialog on the View menu.

Risk Analysis

For Full Monte 2017, new features have been added to the Risk Analysis dialog as shown in Figure 1.

🛞 Risk Analysis		×
Number of Simulations:	10000	Use <u>F</u> ixed Seed 🔲
	lg	gnore Hard Constraints 📃
		Fast Backward Pass 🔲
Preferred Date/Duration Hist	ogram Interval	Let Full Monte Decide 👻
Schedule Sensitivity Target:	0 (HW vs SW I	Demonstration) 🔹
Cost Sensitivity Target:	0 (HW vs SW I	Demonstration) 🔹
Help		<u>OK</u> <u>Cancel</u>

Figure 1

Ignore Hard Constraints

It has always been a best practice to remove 'hard' constraints from a schedule prior to performing a Monte Carlo simulation. While the term 'hard' constraint is not formally defined, it is often used to describe a constraint (target) date that will prevent the early dates moving into the future. Examples include Start No Later Than, Finish No Later Than, Start On, Finish On, Must Finish On etc.

Tools exist (such as Barbecana's Schedule Inspector) that can automate the removal of such constraints but if these are not available then manually removing the constraints can be tedious. Full Monte 2017 now features a risk analysis option to ignore such constraints during the simulation. This can result in a far more realistic assessment of future schedule dates.

Fast Backward Pass

While Full Monte already utilizes a very fast simulation engine it can still take some time to run thousands of simulations on larger schedules. The Fast Backward Pass option can reduce processing times by around 50% at the expense of not calculating Late Date data and Floats. Full Monte will still calculate all Early Date data, sensitivity, percent critical (criticality), and diagnostic aids such as the Critical Predecessor and Early Start/Finish Basis fields.

Risk Path Analysis

A new Full Monte field, Risk Path, has been added and is calculated during risk analysis. Similar in concept to float tracing tools, the Full Monte Risk Path number is unique in grouping tasks by their criticality to the project (or interim milestone) outcome after considering uncertainty. An example is shown in Figure 2.

ID 🖵	Та	ask Name 👻 👻	Total Slack 🔻	Percent Critical 🔻
	۵	Path Number: 1		
2		Initiate	0 days	100
11		SW Task 1	6 days	86
12		SW Task 2	7 days	52
14		SW Task 4	6 days	86
15		SW Complete	6 days	86
17		Integration	0 days	100
21		Delivery	0 days	100
	⊿	Path Number: 2		
13		SW Task 3	6 days	34
	⊿	Path Number: 3		
5		HW Task 1	0 days	14
6		HW Task 2	0 days	8
8		HW Task 4	0 days	14
9		HW Complete	0 days	14
	4	Path Number: 4		
7		HW Task 3	1 day	6

Figure 2

Note that while the tasks shown on Path Number 1 have more float than those in Path Number 3 they are more important to the project outcome after taking into account uncertainty.

The example in Figure 2 was produced in Microsoft Project by mapping the Full Monte Risk Path field to a Microsoft Project Custom Number field. For Primavera P6, a new integer user field called 'Full Monte Risk Path' is automatically created when risk analysis results are saved.

The path numbers will be calculated relative to the sensitivity target task selected in the risk analysis dialog.

Risk Path analysis can be used alongside traditional Sensitivity Tornado charts as an aid to understanding what is driving an outcome. Path analysis has the advantage that it will include tasks with no uncertainty provided they affect the outcome (are on the critical path) at least some of the time during the simulations.

Input Milestones

Many projects are dependent on external inputs from both clients and subcontractors. These are often modelled with an input milestone with a constraint/target date to represent the expected date of receipt. Until now it has not been possible to easily enter a range of dates during which the deliverable might be expected. With Full Monte 2017 the capability to enter a range of dates together with an uncertainty distribution has been added where a task is defined as a milestone, has a zero duration, and has a Start No Earlier (or similar) constraint/target date. Dates can be entered either in the spreadsheet or edit pane as shown in Figure 3.

HWvsSw with Input Milestone 1.mpp - Full Monte View: Task Edit (Modified) - C														×
<u>F</u> ile	Eile Edit View Help Risk Analysis Graphs													
ID	Task Name	Remaining Duration	Early Finish Histogram	Risk Path	Duration Distribution Type	Duration Optimistic	Duration Most Likely	Duration Pessimistic	Duration Confidence Interval (%)		Distribution <u>Type</u>	Beta		~
3	Development	12 wks	Graph		Triangular	75%	100%	125%	100%	6	The date of this subject to unce	s input mil rtainty. Th	estone ca e determi	in be inistic
4	Hardware	12 wks	Graph		Triangular	75%	100%	125%	100%	6	target date	is 8/17/201	5:8:00 AI	м
7	HW Task 3	4 wks	Graph	1	Triangular	75%	100%	125%	100%	6	Т	arget Date		
8	HW Task 4	4 wks	Graph	1	Triangular	75%	100%	125%	100%	6	Optimistic:	3/14/2015	8:00 AN	1 💷 🚽
5	HW Task 1	4 wks	Graph	1	Triangular	75%	100%	125%	100%	6	Most likely:	3/17/2015	1:00 PM	1 💷 🗸
6	HW Task 2	4 wks	Graph	2	Triangular	75%	100%	125%	100%	6	Pessimistic:	3/18/2015	5:00 PM	1 🔍 🗸
9	HW Complete	0	Graph	1	Triangular						Confidence interv	al (%):		100%
10	Software	54 days	Graph		Triangular	75%	100%	125%	100%	6	_			
13	SW Task 3	18 days	Graph	4	Triangular	75%	100%	125%	100%	6	Correlation	<u>zxistence</u>	Bran	ching
14	SW Task 4	18 days	Graph	3	Triangular	75%	100%	125%	100%	6				~
11	SW Task 1	18 days	Graph	3	Triangular	75%	100%	125%	100%	6	3114	/		318
12	SW Task 2	18 days	Graph	3	Triangular	75%	100%	125%	100%	6	2015	()	\	2015
15	SW Complete	0	Graph	3	Triangular						80		\backslash	5:0
16	Suppliers	0	Graph		Triangular	75%	100%	125%	100%	6	8	8		00
17	Design Delivery	0	Graph		Beta			18Aug15		6	AM /	7120		PM
20	Marketing	3 wks	Graph		Triangular	75%	100%	125%	100%	6		15 1		
21	Brochure Development	2 wks	Graph	5	Triangular	75%	100%	125%	100%	6		00:0		
22	Brochure Printing	1 wk	Graph	5	Triangular	75%	100%	125%	100%	6		2		
18	Integration	1 wk	Graph		Triangular	75%	100%	125%	100%	۵.		E	pply Cha	inges
27 rows	No Filter Highlight: Milestone	(6 rows) S	orted on Re	maining Duratio	on Simulations:	10000, Sensitiv	ity Targets: (0 (schedule)	, 0 (cost)		Help		Cance	4

Figure 3

Task Existence

In previous versions of Full Monte, risks (threats) could be modelled by using Probabilistic Branching. While this was especially useful if a number of tasks were used to model the threat (or opportunity), the process was unnecessarily complex if the threat could be modelled with a single task.

Consider the example of making something, testing it, fixing if faulty and then delivering.

The Probabilistic Branching example is modelled with a 20% chance of executing successor Task 4 and an 80% chance of proceeding directly to Task 5 as shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4

The Task Existence model is simpler with no additional logic as shown in Figure 5. Task 9 has a 20% chance of existing/occurring.



Figure 5

Full Monte 2017 allows both a simple probability of existence for a single task as well as a definition for a shared risk that can affect multiple tasks using a simple risk register.

When a task has a probability of existence specified (either directly or by a shared risk) then Full Monte will only consider it as affecting the outcome for the specified percentage of the simulations. For the remainder of the simulations it will be treated as a zero duration task with no cost impact.

The probability of a task existing (occurring) can be entered by clicking the 'Existence' button on the task edit pane or by adding the column 'Probability of Existence' to the spreadsheet as shown in figure 6.

🔅 FM	2017 Task Existence.mpp - Full N	1onte View: Task E	Edit (Modified)							-		×
<u>F</u> ile	<u>E</u> dit <u>V</u> iew <u>H</u> elp <u>R</u> isk A	analysis <u>G</u> raphs				Search f	or Name or II	2	Repair			
ID	Task Name	Remaining E Duration Hist	arly nish Risk Path ogram	Duration Distribution Type	Duration Optimistic	Duration Most Likely	Duration Pessimistic	Probability of Existence (%)	Distribution Ty	rpe: (None)		~
0	FM2017 Task Existence	8 days Gr	aph	(None)		2		100%	Enter the distr absolute dur	ibution paran ations or as p	ercen	either as tages of
1	Project	8 days Gr	aph	(None)				100%	the determinis	tic remaining is 1 day.	durati	on, which
2	Make	1 wk 240	Oct16 1	(None)				100%	1	Duration	or	Percent
3	Test	2 days 260	Oct16 1	(None)				100%	Optimistic:	7.2 hrs	s or	90%
4	Repair	1 day 270	Oct 16 1	(None)				20%	Most likely:	1 day	, or	100%
5	Deliver	0 Gr	aph 1	(None)				100%	Pessimistic:	8.8 hrs	or	110%
									Confidence int	erval (%):		100%
									Correlation	E <u>x</u> istence	B	anching
									Select Discret	Bick ID: (N	lone)	
									E : 1			
									or Existence	e Probabilty (%):	20%
										۵	nnly (
<								>	Help	-		ncel
6 rows	No Filter No Highlight Sorted	l on Remaining D	uration Simulations:	100000, Sensitivity	/ Targets: 0 (sc	hedule), 0 (cost)		noip			

Figure 6

Note that probability of occurrence is independent of any duration uncertainty information assigned to the task. In the example above the only uncertainty is regarding whether items will fail testing. Duration uncertainty information, if specified, will only have any effect in the simulations where the task exists.

If the Discrete Risk ID is set to '(None)' then the Existence Probability is unique to the selected task however a shared risk can be defined under Edit, Manage Risks and selected from the drop down list as shown in Figure 7.

🅐 FN	1 2017 T	ask Exist	ence.m	pp - Full N	Ionte View:	Task Edit (M	lodified)							_]	\times
File	Edit	View	Help	<u>R</u> isk A	Analysis <u>G</u>	raphs				Search	for Name or I) <u>></u>	Repair				
ID		Task	Name		Remaining Duration	Early Finish Histogram	Risk Path	Duration Distribution Type	Duration Optimistic	Duration Most Likely	Duration Pessimistic	Probability of Existence (%)	Distribution T	/pe: (N/	one)	ara ait	~
0	🖯 FN	12017 Ta	sk Exist	tence	8 days	Graph		(None)				100%	absolute dur	or as percentages of			
1		Project			8 days	Graph		(None)				100%	the determinis	is 1 da	iing dur iy.	auon,	, which
2		Make			1 wk	240ct16	1	(None)				100%		Duration		or P	ercent
3		Test			2 days	260ct16	1	(None)				100%	Optimistic:	7.	2 hrs 🛛	or	90%
4		Repair			1 day	270ct16	1	(None)				15% (R001)	Most likely:	1	day	or	100%
5			Annage	Discrete		<u> </u>		a1)				100%	Pessimistic:	8.	8 hrs 🛛	or 📄	110%
		Add F	dit or D	elete Discr	ete Risk Defi	nitions						`	Confidence in	terval (%)			100%
		Nam	e	Probability	Descript	ion					Tasks	-	Correlation	Evictor			
		R001		1	5% based on	Hsitorical da	ta there is a 15%	chance of repair b	eing required afte	r testing.	List Task	s	Correlation	LVisten	ue -	Dian	caning
												-	Select Discret	e Risk <u>I</u> D:	R001		~
													or Existence	e Probabil	ty (%):		15%
			Isla	1					LLA	Delete	Class	-					
			тегр						Add	Delete	Close				Appl		
<				1			-					>	Help		(Cance	
6 rows	No Fil	ter No	Highlig	ht Sorted	d on Remain	ing Duratio	n Simulations:	Risk Analysis Red	quired								

Figure 7

Note that the Probability of Existence column shows both the probability and the source risk ID.

Expected Cost Calculations in Full Monte 2017

The calculation of costs for tasks that do not always occur has been changed in Full Monte 2017. In earlier versions of Full Monte (2.x and 2016), the Cost Expected Value for tasks that did not always occur was not modified to take into account the tasks Percentage Active. So a Task with a budget cost of \$1,600 would display a Cost Expected Value of \$1,600 even if it was only active say 20% of the time. However, the cost rolled up to higher level summary tasks would be modified to reflect the percentage Active. In Full Monte 2017, the Cost Expected Value is modified by the tasks Percentage Active. Examples of previous and current results are shown in Figure 8.

Task Name 👻	Duration 👻	Start 👻	Finish 👻	Predecessors 👻	Cost 👻	Cost Expected Value (FM 2.1 and 2016) -	Cost Expected Value (FM 2016 R1) 🔻
Probabilistic Branching	8 days	Thu 4/21/16	Mon 5/2/16		\$6,400.00	\$5,120.00	\$5,120.00
Make	5 days	Thu 4/21/16	Wed		\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00
Test	1 day	Thu 4/28/16	Thu 4/28/16	2	\$800.00	\$800.00	\$800.00
Remedial Work	2 days	Fri 4/29/16	Mon 5/2/16	3	\$1,600.00	\$1,600.00	\$320.00
Deliver	0 days	Mon 5/2/16	Mon 5/2/16	3,4	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Probability of Occurrence	8 days	Thu 4/21/16	Mon 5/2/16		\$6,400.00	\$5,120.00	\$5,120.00
Make	5 days	Thu 4/21/16	Wed		\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00
Test	1 day	Thu 4/28/16	Thu 4/28/16	7	\$800.00	\$800.00	\$800.00
Remedial Work	2 days	Fri 4/29/16	Mon 5/2/16	8	\$1,600.00	\$1,600.00	\$320.00
Deliver	0 days	Mon 5/2/16	Mon 5/2/16	9	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Figure 8

Note that the results shown in Figure 8 for Probability of Existence costs are simulated for earlier versions of Full Monte since they did not include that feature.

This change has one other effect, cost histograms for tasks that are not always active will now contain a bar for occurrences of zero cost as shown in Figure 9.



Figure 9

The graph shows that 20% of the time (left axis) the task cost (right axis) was \$1,600.

Note: The odd right Y-Axis values (\$12, \$18, \$24, \$31, \$37, \$43, \$49) are due to the fact that intermediate values are interpolated. The values are being derived as the S-Curve crosses the \$0 bar.

Filters & Highlights

Users can now select which tasks are displayed in tabular views and apply conditional highlighting. Please see the Full Monte User Guide sections 3.2 and 3.3 for details. The example shown in Figure 12 is highlighting any tasks where the Early Finish Percentile (80%) is later than a Task Deadline date.

🚷 Ful	l Monte	e Demo	nstration.r	npp - Full	Monte Vi	ew: Tasl	k Edit (M	odif	fied)											_		×			
File	Edit	View	Help	<u>R</u> isk Ana	alysis <u>G</u>	<u>i</u> raphs						Searc	h for N	ame or	D		<u>>></u>	Full	Monte D	emonstratio	n				
ID		Task	Name		Add, Edit	Hanage Filters								ration imistic	Duration Du Most Pes Likely		ra ^ sir	Distr Dis	ibution stribution	Type: Trians entered for	Triangular v red for summary tasks				
7		H١	V Task 3		Name		Туре		Description					75%	100%			are Iowe	r-level t	asks. As the	ut as a (ese gen	default for ierally have			
8		H١	V Task 4		Has Risk	Path	Task	1	Fasks with a	a Risk Path numbe	er			75%	100%			diffe	rent dur	ations, parar as percenta	neters a ages.	are entered			
5		H١	V Task 1		Missed D	eadline	Task	F	°80 date lat	erthan Deadline o	late			75%	100%					Duration	0	r Percent			
6		H١	V Task 2						Æ Filter	Definition						_			×		or	r 75%			
9		H١	V Complete																		or	100%			
10		Softv	vare						Type: Ta	ask 🗸 🗸	Name: Misse	d Deadline	Des	cription	: P80 date la	ater tha	an De	eadline	date		or	125%			
13		SV	/Task 3						And/Or	Field Name		Test			Value				Case	erval (%):		100%			
14		SV	/Task 4									1000							Sens,						
11		SV	/Task 1						And ~	Early Finish Per	centile (80%)	is after		~	Deadline					Existen	e j	Branching			
12		SV	/Task 2			_		-	And ~	·			_	~								.1			
15		SV	/ Complete		Help	p		_														1259			
18	Ξ	Market	ting		3 wks	Grap	oh N/	ι.													\backslash	~			
19		Broc	hure Devel	opment	2 wks	Grap	oh NA														$\langle \rangle$				
20		Broc	hure Printi	ng	1 wk	Grap	oh NA													10					
16	E	Integra	tion		1 wk	Grap	oh NA						-	_						8					
17		Integ	ration		1 wk	Grap	oh NA		Help	0					0	K		Can	cel						
2		Initiate			1 wk	Grap	oh NA			иомаут/	Inangu	Jiar		/0%	100%				/						
21		Delive	ry		0	Grap	oh <mark>04</mark>	Aug	17	11Aug17	Triangu	ilar						_/				<u> </u>			
1																	ľ				Apply	Changes			
22 10145	roug No Eilter Highlight Missed Deadline (1 roug) Sected on Remaining Duration Simulations 10000 Sectivity Targets 0 (schedule) 0 (sect)																								
Figure																									

History Report

The history report, used to review past performance against estimated durations, now has an option to set boundaries for the data to be included. This allows unrepresentative outliers to be excluded and makes it easier to discern values that can be used for duration uncertainty on future work as shown in Figure 11.





Enterprise Fields (Microsoft project)

When assigning Templates based on Custom Fields, Full Monte will now include any available Enterprise text fields in the menu as shown in Figure 10.

				.			_	_	Gantt Cha	art Tools	HW vs SW with Enterprise Fields (Inclue	ding LOE Flag).mpp ·	- Pro	ject Professional					
File		Task	Resou	irce Repor	rt Project	View	Add-Ins	Team	Form	nat	♀ Tell me what you want to do			(K) °					
Full Mor 2016 B	F nte arbe	Full Monte	Admi	nistration • HW vs SW with	Sche Inspect	dule Inspector	LOE Flag).m	pp - Full N	1onte View	Analys Dist Ty Distrib	is Log Messages (Text3) pe (Text25) ution Template (Text20)			x					
			File	e Edit Vie	ew Help	Risk Analysis	Graphs			Early F	inish Histogram (Old Local) (Text6)	ID :	>>	HW vs SW with Enterprise Fields					
0		Task I H' Fi	D	Task	Name	Remaining Duration	Duration Distribution Type	Duration Optimistic	Duratio Most Like	Early S Full M Full M	tart Histogram (Old Local) (Text7) onte Input Data (Old Local) (Text1) onte Results (Old Local) (Text2)	Early Finish Histogram	^	Probability of Occurrence (%) 100% Distribution Type: (None)					
1		4	0	HW vs SV		- Fil	(None)	100	1	Tevt1 (Full Monte Input Data (Old Local)	Graph		Distributions entered for summary tasks					
2			1	🖯 Hardwa	Apply Temp	ate 🕨	Correlation	100		Text2 (Full Monte Results (Old Local))	Graph		are not used directly, but as a default for lower-level activities. As these generally					
3			2	Initiate	Inherit Distri	lations	Correlation	PM 40%		Text3 (Analysis Log Messages)	Graph		have different durations, parameters are					
4			3	Devel.	Innerit Corre	lations	Default			Text6 (Farly Finish Histogram (Old Local))	Graph		Duration Defaults (%)					
5			4	🖯 Hard	dware	12 wk	HW High C	onfidence	2	Text7 (Early Start Histogram (Old Local))	Graph		Optimistic: or 90%					
6			5	H/	W Task 1	4 wks		ISK nafidanca		Text14		Graph		Most likely: or 100%					
7	7 6 HW Task 2 4 wk							ale		Text15		Graph		Pessimistic: Of 110%					
8			7	H/	W Task 3	4 wks	HW LOW KI	sk Confidu		Text16		Graph		Confidence interval (%):					
. 9		8 HW Task 4 4 wk						m Connue m Rick	ence	Text17		Graph							
L 10		_	9	H/	// Complete		Inherited	ITI KISK		Text18		Graph		Set Correlations. (No branching) 🗸					
B -1		_	10	⊟ Soft	ware	54 days	Mana			Text19		Graph							
Ë		_	11	SV	// Task 1	18 days	SW High C	onfidence		Text20	(Distribution Template)	Graph							
Q 12			12	SV	N Task 2	18 days	SW High C	onnuence ek		Text21		Graph							
13			13	SV	// Task 3	18 days	SW Low Co	sk ofidence		Text22		Graph							
2 14			14	SV	N Task 4	18 days	SW Low Riv	-		Text23		Graph							
15			15	SV	// Complete		SW Mediur	n Confide	0.00	Text24		Graph							
16			16	Integra	ation	1 wi	SW Mediur	n Rick	lice	Text25	(Dist Type)	Graph							
17			17	Integ	gration	1 wi	From Text F	ield		Text26		Graph							
18			18	🖯 Marke	ting	3 wk	- Thom Text 1			Text27		Graph	¥						
19	I	3	<	BIOCHUIE DE	evelopment	10 days	INO			Text28		>		Cancel Apply Changes					
20				Brochure Pr	rinting	5 days	No			Text29									
21			D	elivery	0	0 days	No			Text30									
22		Þ	PM	OF		70 days	No			Task T	ype (Enterprise)	L							
25			LIM/	ENGLOE		60 days	No			Risk So	ore (Enterprise)								
20			614V			E4 days	No			FM Un	certainty Assessment (Enterprise)	L							
20			5W I	ENGLUE		54 uays	NO			FM Assessment 1 (Enterprise)									
•								sessment 2 (Enterprise)											
-	Nev	w Tasks : Au	ito Sch	neduled						FM Dis	tribution Template (Enterprise)								

Figure 10

Level of Effort

While Primavera P6 has a special activity type (Level of Effort) to model overhead/support resources, Microsoft Project does not. This can lead to Level of Effort (LOE) support work being modelled using regular tasks. This can be hard to maintain, as the LOE duration must be manually adjusted to reflect changes to the underlying discrete work, and can result in LOE tasks being shown on the critical path. LOE tasks should not be on the critical path.

A Full Monte field called 'Level of Effort' can be mapped to a Microsoft Project Flag field to identify LOE tasks. The LOE task must be linked with Start-to-Start/Finish-to-Finish logic to the relevant start/finish of the discrete work. Full Monte will derive the duration of the LOE task from the underlying discrete work on each simulation and calculate costs accordingly. The Percent Criticality of the LOE task will be zero. For further information see Appendix F in the Full Monte User Guide.